A Combined Deep-Learning and Deformable-Model Approach to Fully Automatic Segmentation of the Left Ventricle in Cardiac MRI

Sharone Dayan

Alexandre Attia

Introduction

Objective : Automatically segment the Left Ventricle in cardiac MRI

Approach : Convolutional Neural Network + Stacked AutoEncoder + Deformable Models



Dataset explanations

Dataset

MICCAI 2009 challenge database : Left Ventricle MR images + manually delineated contours Trainset : 495 (MRI/contours)

Notations



Contour shape





Convolutional Neural Network to locate the Left Ventricle

Main Idea

Generate a Region of Interest (ROI) around the Left Ventricle Input : Resized Magnetic Resonnace image $(256 \times 256 \rightarrow 64 \times 64)$ Output : Binary mask (100×100) Initialization : Sparse AE to prevent the lack of data



To compute spatial size of the convolution output :

- W_2 : output volume size(54) W_1 : input volume size(64)
- F: filter size(11)
- P: padding(0)
- S: stride(1)

$$W_2 = \frac{W_1 - F + 2P}{S} + 1$$

Stacked AutoEncoder for the Inferred Shape

Main Idea

Segmentation of the Left Ventricle Input : ROI computed by the CNN Output : Inferred shape Binary mask



Deformable Models

Geometric models

 $\min_{\phi} \alpha_1 E_{len}(\phi) + \alpha_2 E_{reg}(\phi) + \alpha_3 E_{shape}(\phi)$

Internal forces

External forces

Regularization

Affine registration of the center coordinates



Metrics and evaluation

$$DM = \frac{2|A_a \bigcap A_m|}{|A_a| + |A_m|}$$

Dice metric: Measure of contour overlap between automatically and manually segmentation

$$CC = \frac{3DM - 2}{DM}$$

Conformity coefficient:

Ratio of mis-segmented pixels to the number of correctly segmented pixels

Average Perpendicular Distance:

$$APD = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \|x_i - p(x_i)\|_2$$

Distance from the predicted contour to the manually drawn, average over all contour points $p(x) = \text{projection of } x \text{ on } C_1$ $C_1 = \{x_i, i \in [1, ..., N]\}$



Implementation

Tools (in Python)

- Keras (TensorFlow backend)
- OpenCV

We have implemented :

- Convolutional Neural Network (without the sparse Auto-Encoder initialization)
- Stacked Auto-Encoder
- Metrics (Dice Metric and Conformity Coefficient)
- Active contours model

Prediction on the validation dataset :



Ground Truth Inferred shape (Stacked AE)

Accurate shape (Deformable models)

Experiments

Variations of CNN parameters

Possible improvements :

- Deeper neural network (one more convolutional layer)
- Wider neural network (more filters, 200 filters instead of 100 for the convolutional layer)
- Change convolutions activation (ReLu)
- Replace Average Pooling by Max Pooling





Only a deeper neural network improves slightly the performance

Experiments

Variations of Stacked Auto Encoder parameters

Possible improvements :

- Different initialization for the kernels (zero weights, random)
- Modified losses (*MSE*, *KL*, *customized loss*)



	Original	All MSE	KL + MSE
Dice Metric	31.8%	40.0%	23.4%
Conformity Coefficient	-3.27	-2	-5.54

Experiments

Deformable models

Implementation :

Snakes : Active Contour Model → minimising an energy to increase smoothness and reduce length





For this picture :

DM without Active Contours : 89 % DM with Active Contours : 92 %



Ground Truth Prediction after Stacked AE Prediction with active contours

Results

MRI set : Online dataset 534 (MRI/contours) Training dataset 495 (MRI/contours)

Performance on this dataset using CNN (without pre-training) and stacked AE :

	Train set	Validation set	Paper performance	State of the art
Dice Metric	54.8 %	51.6 %	94 %	90 %
Conformity Coefficient	- 1.06	-1.21	1.81	1.76



MR Input image

ROI CNN Output

Inferred Shape Stacked AE Output Final Shape Active Contours Output